

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 6, 2011
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S022998**PEOPLE v. TOWNSEL
(ANTHONY LETRICE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 15, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 6, 2012. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 310 additional days are contemplated.

S051342**PEOPLE v. CUNNINGHAM
(JOHN)**

Extension of time denied

Appellant's Nineteenth Application for An Extension of Time to File Appellant's Reply Brief and Supplement are denied.

S051968**PEOPLE v. MORELOS
(VALDAMIR FRED)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time to file respondent's opposition to the motion to preserve evidence is granted to December 15, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S128402**PEOPLE v. DONALDSON
(JASARI LATIFUL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Harry Gruber's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 31, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S134792**PEOPLE v. HUGHES
(MERVIN RAY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 13, 2012.

S193990

B222435 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**VALLI (FRANKIE &
RANDY), MARRIAGE OF**

Extension of time granted

On application of Frankie Valli, petitioner and respondent, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to December 19, 2011.

S191550

B202789 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**SARGON ENTERPRISES,
INC. v. UNIVERSITY OF
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**

Order filed

The order filed October 14, 2011, granting the application to file the amicus curiae brief of California Medical Association, California Hospital Association, and California Dental is hereby amended to reflect the correct time in which to file a consolidated answer to amicus curiae brief. The order should read as follows:

The application of California Medical Association, California Hospital Association, and California Dental for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted.

Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus briefs within 30 days after the last date that an application to file an amicus brief may be filed under California Rules of Court, rule 8.520(f)(7).

S198130**HUDSON (MARC) v. S.C.
(PLEASANT VALLEY STATE
PRISON)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 6, 2011
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, Third Floor, South Tower, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California, on Tuesday, December 6, 2011, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S188619

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
v.
Andrew D. Johnson, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Barry M. Karl, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.
David M. Baskind, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Karl replied.
Cause submitted.

S184665

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
v.
Eduardo Mil, Jr., Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Mark Christiansen, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.
Craig Meyers, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Christiansen replied.
Cause submitted.

S027094

The People, Respondent,
v.
Elliot Marchand, Appellant.

Cause called. Richard B. Mazer, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.
Bill Bilderback, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Mazer replied.
Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.
Members of the court and officers present as first shown.

S182355*

The People, Petitioner,
v.
Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Respondent;
Christopher Sharkey, Real Party in Interest.

Cause called. Jack T. Weedin, Office of the Public Defender, argued for Real Party in Interest.
Shirley Sun, Office of the District Attorney, argued for Petitioner.

Mr. Weedin replied.
Cause submitted.

*Consolidated with *In re David Lucas on Habeas Corpus* S181788.

S181788* In re David Lucas on Habeas Corpus.

Cause called. Richard H. Kohl, Office of the Public Defender, argued for Petitioner.
Jennifer M. Poe, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Kohl replied.
Cause submitted.

*Consolidated with *People v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (Christopher Sharkey, Real Party in Interest)* S182355.

S188982 C.A., A Minor, etc., et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,
v.
William S. Hart Union High School District et al., Defendants and Respondents.

[To be called and continued to the January 2012 Calendar.]

S048337 The People, Respondent,
v.
Regis Deon Thomas, Appellant.

Cause called. Mary K. McComb, Office of the Public Defender, argued for Appellant.
Douglas Wilson, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. McComb replied.
Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

